

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,778	07/15/2003	James Pate	02-5976	1134
24319 LSI CORPORA	7590 06/26/2007 A TION		EXAM	INER
1621 BARBER LANE			ST CYR, DANIEL	
MS: D-106 MILPITAS, CA 95035			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, 0.	,50000		2876	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			06/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/620,778	PATE, JAMES
	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAN NO DATE of the	Daniel St.Cyr	2876
The MAILING DATE of this communication eriod for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjuistment. See 37 CFR 1:704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
itatus		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>2</u>	9 March 2007	:
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	•	ters prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-12,15,17,27 and 29-32</u> is/an	,	
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>18-26</u> is/are without	drawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-12,15,17,27 and 29-32</u> is/ar	e rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	ninor	·
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on $7/15/03$ is/are: a) \boxtimes		o by the Evaminer
Applicant may not request that any objection to	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	•	
	· ·	• • •
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action of John P10-152.
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eian priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.	· ·
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	•	Application No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		
application from the International Bu	·	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		received
		•
ttachment(s)		
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_	(s)/Mail Date
	D BOLICE OF	Informal Patent Application
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	, ·

Application/Control Number: 10/620,778

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is in response to the applicant amendment filed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 6-11, 12, 15, 17, 27, 29-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pate et al, US Patent No. 6,754,605.

Pate et al disclose a method and system for automating data storage array components testing with integrated work order dispatching comprising: a system 200 including an assembly line 204 on which a product 202 such as a data storage array component or the like may be transported and/or assembled, a buffer zone 230 where the product 202 may be kept temporarily a re-work station 240 where re-work may be performed on the product 202 after the product 202 fails a test, and two types of test cells where testing for the product 202 may be performed; a test cell 210 (Test Cell # 1) having a star configuration, and a test cell 220 (Test Cell # 2) having a ladder/magazine configuration, the test cell 210 may include a storage component interface comprised of a plurality of storage component interface modules 214, a plurality of SAN targets 216 such as PCs, hosts, and the like communicatively coupled to the storage component interface, and a rotating carousel 212 for rotating the product 202 to face an appropriate storage component interface modules 214, the test cell 220 may include a storage

Application/Control Number: 10/620,778

Art Unit: 2876

component interface 222; as the product 202 continues down the assembly line, a RFID tag of the product 202 is be read by a RFID scanner 206 to determine a serial number of the product 202 (the serial number provides links to product information including test required) (see col. 2-3).

Pate et al fail to disclose or fairly suggest all the details/ method steps, the type of tag, etc. However, since the structural limitations are as recited the method step is obtained, and, therefore, obvious. The structure of Pate et al is capable of performing all the functions set forth in the claims. An ordinary artisan would have been able to employ the system of Pate et al to perform the functions and/or the method steps required as set forth in the claims. With respect to the specific type of tag, such as read-only, write-once, these tags are used to meet specific customer requirements, they do not provide any unexpected results. therefore, it would have been an obvious extension as taught by Pate et al.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel St.Cyr whose telephone number is 571-272-2407. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on 571-272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2876

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Daniel St.Cyr Primary Examiner Art Unit 2876

DS June 22, 2007